### **Neapolis University**

### **HEPHAESTUS** Repository

http://hephaestus.nup.ac.cy

School of Law and Social Sciences

Books

2009

# Reverse Discrimination in EC Law

# Tryfonidou, Alina

Kluwer Law International

http://hdl.handle.net/11728/12062

Downloaded from HEPHAESTUS Repository, Neapolis University institutional repository

# **Reverse Discrimination in EC Law**

### **EUROPEAN MONOGRAPHS**

Editor-in-chief Professor David O'Keeffe

In this series European Monographs this book Reverse Discrimination in EC Law is the sixty-fourth title. The titles published in this series are listed at the end of this volume.

### KLUWER LAW INTERNATIONAL

### **Reverse Discrimination in EC Law**

Alina Tryfonidou



Published by: Kluwer Law International PO Box 316 2400 AH Alphen aan den Rijn The Netherlands Website: www.kluwerlaw.com

Sold and distributed in North, Central and South America by: Aspen Publishers, Inc. 7201 McKinney Circle Frederick, MD 21704 United States of America

Email: customer.care@aspenpubl.com

Sold and distributed in all other countries by: Turpin Distribution Services Ltd. Stratton Business Park Pegasus Drive, Biggleswade Bedfordshire SG18 8TQ United Kingdom Email: kluwerlaw@turpin-distribution.com

Printed on acid-free paper.

ISBN 978-90-411-2751-8

© 2009 Kluwer Law International BV, The Netherlands

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without written permission from the publisher.

Permission to use this content must be obtained from the copyright owner. Please apply to: Permissions Department, Wolters Kluwer Legal, 76 Ninth Avenue, 7th Floor, New York, NY 10011-5201, USA. Email: permissions@kluwerlaw.com

Printed in Great Britain.



# Table of Contents

Ded	ication	V
Ack	nowledgements	xiii
List	of Abbreviations	XV
	opter 1 oduction	1
I II III IV V	Introduction Reverse Discrimination and the Purely Internal Rule A The Origins of the Purely Internal Rule B The Purely Internal Rule C The Linking Factor Test D Reverse Discrimination E Is Reverse Discrimination Prohibited under EC Law? The Main Aim and Question of the Book Structure of the Book Methodology	1 3 3 7 10 13 18 21 22 24
	t I Court's Traditional and New Approaches to Purely rnal Situations	27
	apter 2 Traditional Approach of the Court	29
I II	Introduction Purely Internal Rule, Reverse Discrimination and the	29
	Fundamental Freedoms: The Court's Traditional Approach	29

	Α	Free Movement of Goods	31
		1 Reverse Discrimination Exercised by a Member	
		State against its Own Goods	33
		2 Reverse Discrimination Arising as a Result of the	
		ECJ Confining the Application of its Judgments	
		to Imported Goods	34
		3 Reverse Discrimination Arising as a Result of the	
		Implementation of EC Minimum Harmonization	
		Legislation	35
		4 Reverse Discrimination as a Difference in Treatment	
		between Domestic Goods in a Purely Internal	
		Situation and Re-imported Domestic Goods	37
		5 Article 29 EC and the Application of the Linking	
		Factor Test: The Traditional Approach	38
		6 Articles 23-25 and the Application of the Linking	
		Factor Test: The Traditional Approach	39
	В	Free Movement of Workers	40
		1 Article 39 EC and Purely Internal Situations	42
		2 Article 39 EC and Reverse Discrimination	42
		3 Establishing a Link with EC Law: Export	
		Restrictions	44
		4 Establishing a Link with EC law: Obstacles to the	
		Free Movement of a Person Back to his or her	
	_	Member State of Origin in Order to Work	45
	C	Freedom of Establishment	46
		1 Article 43 EC and Purely Internal Situations	47
		2 Article 43 EC and Reverse Discrimination	48
		3 Establishing a Link with EC Law: Export	4.0
		Restrictions	49
		4 Establishing a Link with EC Law: Obstacles to the	
		Free Movement of a Person Back to his or her	
		Member State of Origin in Order to Work in	40
	Ъ	a Self-employed Capacity	49
	D	Free Movement of Services	50
		1 Article 49 EC and Purely Internal Situations	52
		2 Article 49 EC and Reverse Discrimination	52
		3 Establishing a Link with EC Law: Export	<i>5 1</i>
		Restrictions  4. Establishing a Link with EC Lawy Obstacles to	54
		4 Establishing a Link with EC Law: Obstacles to the Freedom of a Person to Provide Services	
		to Persons Established in his or her Member	
			54
	Е	State of Origin  Free Movement of Capital	55
III		Free Movement of Capital	33
111		e Problems with the Traditional Approach the Court	56
	OI	ine Court	50

The		3 rt's New Approaches to a Link with EC Law: ing to the Problems of the Traditional Approach	63
I II		roduction me General Comments on the Court's Case-Law Where	63
		Traditional Approach Towards Finding a Link with	
	EC	Law was not Followed	64
III		e Court's New Approach Towards Finding a Link With	
		Law in some of Its Case-Law in the Area of the	
		ee Movement of Goods and Services	67
	A	11	(7
	В	a Link with EC Law in Competition Law Cases	67
	Б	The New Approach Followed by the Court when Delimiting the Scope of the Customs Duties and	
		Free Movement of Goods Provisions	71
		1 The Application of the New Approach of the	71
		Court when Delimiting the Scope of the	
		Customs Duties Provisions	72
		2 The Application of the New Approach of the	
		Court when Delimiting the Scope of Article 28 EC	76
		3 The Application of the New Approach of the	
		Court when Delimiting the Scope of	0.4
	~	Article 29 EC	84
	C	The New Approach Followed by the Court when	
		Delimiting the Scope of the Free Movement of Services Provisions	85
	D	Some General Comments on the Court's New	0.5
	D	Approach to the Application of the Linking	
		Factor Test	88
	Е	Conclusion	94
IV	Fre	ee Movement of (Economically Active) Persons:	
	Th	e Court Tweaks its Traditional Approach to	
	Pre	event the Emergence of Reverse Discrimination	95
	A	,	96
	В		106
	C	Case-law in the Area of Freedom of Establishment	100
	D	and the Free Movement of Workers	108
	D	Problems caused by the Approach Followed by the Court in the above Case-law	114
		1 Inordinate Extension of the Scope of EC Law	114
		2 Instead of Removing the Harsh Consequences of	117
		the Strict Application of the Purely Internal Rule,	
		the Injustice of the Situation is Enhanced	116
		3 Abuse of EC Law	117
	E	Conclusion	118

V	The New Approach Followed by the Court When Delimiting the Scope of its Jurisdiction in Preliminary Rulings Proceedings A The New Approach Followed by the Court when	119
	Delimiting the Scope of its Jurisdiction in Instances where National Law Prohibits the Emergence of Reverse Discrimination  B The new Approach Followed by the Court when Delimiting the Scope of its Jurisdiction in Instances	121
VI	Involving 'renvoi' Conclusion	123 126
Part	П	
Rev	erse Discrimination as an Incongruity in the temporary EC	127
Rev	pter 4 erse Discrimination and the Free Movement of Persons: Incongruity in a Citizens' Europe	129
I	Introduction	129
II	Definition of the Terms to be used Throughout the	
III	Chapter Market Citizenship and Reverse Discrimination A The Market Citizenship Case-law 1 Rights under Article 7(2) of Regulation 1612/68 2 Students 3 Service Recipients and Consumers	131 131 132 132 134 135
	3 Service Recipients and Consumers B A General Right of Residence for Economically Inactive (but Economically Self-sufficient)	
	Persons C Market Citizenship and Reverse Discrimination	135 137
IV	Reverse Discrimination and the New Status of Union Citizenship: The First Reactions	139
V	Broadening the Scope of Application of Community Law: The Court's New Aim of Developing a Meaningful	139
	Notion of Union Citizenship  A The Court's Case-law where Rights have been Granted to Union Citizens who have not Contributed, even Indirectly, to the Process of Establishing	142
VI	an Internal Market Is Reverse Discrimination, Now, a Form of a Difference	144
V 1	in Treatment Prohibited by EC Law?  A Reverse Discrimination as a Difference in Treatment based on Whether a Person has Contributed to the	154
	Establishment of the Internal Market	154

	B Are Persons who can Show a Contribution to the Internal Market and Persons who are Unable to Show such a Contribution, similarly Situated for	
	the Purposes of Community Law? C Is Reverse Discrimination a Justified difference in	155
	Treatment between Persons Similarly Situated?  D Is Reverse Discrimination a form of Discrimination	161
	Prohibited by EC Law?  1 The Development of the Scope of the Community Principles of Equality and Non-discrimination	162
	on the Grounds of Nationality 2 Is Reverse Discrimination a Violation of the	162
	Community Principle of Equality?	166
_	oter 5	
	rse Discrimination and EC Trade: An Incongruity in nuine Internal Market	173
I	Introduction	173
II	Reverse Discrimination as an Indispensable Feature in the Process of Establishing an Internal Market	
III	The Scope of Application of the Internal Market Provisions of the Treaty	180
IV	From the Construction of the Common Market to the Functioning of the Internal Market: Reappraising	
V	the Priorities of Community Trade The Use of Article 95 EC in the Process of Ensuring that	180
	the Internal Market is Functioning Properly	184
VI	The Use of Treaty Provisions for Prohibiting National Measures that Jeopardize the Proper Functioning of	
	the Internal Market	194
VII	Reverse Discrimination and the Principle of Undistorted Competition in a Properly-Functioning	
	Market	195
VIII	a Properly-Functioning Market	199
	A Delimiting the Scope of Application of EC Legislation in Accordance with the Aim of Preventing the Emergence of Reverse	
	Discrimination	200
	B Avoiding the Emergence of Reverse Discrimination in the Area of Customs Duties	205
IX	'Spontaneous Harmonization': An Alternative Solution	200
X	to the Problem of Reverse Discrimination Conclusion	208 215

Char	oter 6	
_	elusion	217
I	Conclusions	218
II	Which Instances of Reverse Discrimination must be	220
TTT	Remedied?  Passible Solutions to the Payerse Discrimination	220
III	Possible Solutions to the Reverse Discrimination Conundrum: The Way Forward	225
	A National Level	225
	1 Spontaneous Harmonization	225
	2 The (national) Constitutional Principle of Equality	227
	B EC Level	227
	1 Positive Harmonization	227
	2 Negative Harmonization	229
List	of References	233
Tabl	e of Cases and Secondary Legislation	249
Tabl	e of Treaty Articles	267
Inde	x	269

## Acknowledgements

This work arises out of a doctoral thesis written at King's College London. This book would not have been possible without the support of a number of people. I would first of all like to express my deep gratitude to Professor David O'Keeffe, the editor of the Kluwer European Monographs series, for his continued encouragement and support. I would also like to thank two of my colleagues at the University of Leicester, Professor Robin White and Professor Erika Szyszczak, the former for his advice during the early stages of preparing this book, the latter for reading a previous draft of the book and offering incisive and constructive comments. A great debt is also owed to Mr Karel van der Linde, publishing manager at Kluwer, for being so patient and efficient in dealing with all my queries. Finally, but not least, I must express my heartfelt gratitude to my family, to whom I dedicate this book.

Alina Tryfonidou Leicester, September 2008

### List of Abbreviations

All E R All England Law Reports

AJCL American Journal of Comparative Law

ARIEL Austrian Review of International and European Law CEE(s) Charge(s) having equivalent effect to customs duties

CEPS Centre for European Policy Studies
CJEL Columbia Journal of European Law

CLJ Cambridge Law Journal
CLP Common Legal Problems
CMLR Common Market Law Reports
CMLRev Common Market Law Review

CYELS Cambridge Yearbook of European Legal Studies

EBLRev European Business Law Review

EC European Community
ECJ European Court of Justice
ECR European Court Reports

ECSC European Coal and Steel Community
EEC European Economic Community

EIPA European Institute of Public Administration

EIOP European Integration Online Papers
EJML European Journal of Migration and Law

ELJ European Law Journal ELRev European Law Review EPL European Public Law

ERPL European Review of Private Law

EU European Union

Fordham Int'l LJ Fordham International Law Journal

GLJ German Law Journal

ICEL Irish Centre for European Law

ICLQ International and Comparative Law Quarterly

IJCLLIR International Journal of Comparative Labour Law and

**Industrial Relations** 

IJEL Irish Journal of European Law

ILJ Industrial Law Journal

IR Irish Reports

JCMS Journal of Common Market Studies
JEPP Journal of European Public Policy
JSSL Journal of Social Security Law

JSWFL Journal of Social Welfare and Family Law

KCLJ King's College Law Journal

LIEI Legal Issues of European Integration/Legal Issues of

**Economic Integration** 

LS Legal Studies

MEP Member of the European Parliament

MEQR(s) Measure(s) having equivalent effect to quantitative

restrictions

MJ Maastricht Journal of European and Comparative Law

MLR Modern Law Review

OJ Official Journal of the European Communities

OJLS Oxford Journal of Legal Studies

OUP Oxford University Press

Riv dir Internaz Rivista di Diritto Internazionale

SEA Single European Act

TEU Treaty on European Union ('Maastricht Treaty')
TFEU Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union

YEL Yearbook of European Law

# Chapter 1 Introduction

### I INTRODUCTION

This work is a study of the problem of reverse discrimination in EC law. In particular, the question that this book aims to answer is whether reverse discrimination emerging in the context of the Community's internal market policy, is still a permissible form of differential treatment in the Community.

The term 'discrimination' always has a negative connotation. Discrimination is not, merely, differential treatment. It is differential treatment of similar situations that is not justified. It is the use of the term in the context of anti-discrimination law and, in particular, that of sex and racial equality, that is mostly known amongst laymen. In the twentieth century, as a result of the action of various political and human rights movements, it became generally acknowledged that it is inherently bad to differentiate between groups of persons, if the *only* ground on which the differential treatment is based is an immutable characteristic such as sex, colour or race. It is considered to be blatantly wrong to treat a group of persons more harshly than others, just because they possess a characteristic which cannot be changed and for which they cannot be held responsible. It is only if the differentiation is grounded on some other (acceptable) criterion and merely happens to disadvantage a particular group of persons who share a certain characteristic, that is considered to be justified and thus can escape the characterization of 'discrimination'.

Certain characteristics are the 'usual suspects' for turning instances of differential treatment into discrimination; in other words, persons who carry those characteristics usually form a group which is 'expected' to be discriminated against, even though this is totally wrong. In the context of EC anti-discrimination law, apart from sex which has, since the genesis of this Community policy, been